## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re application of		)
	Tadayuki Imai et al.	)
Serial No.:	10/534,635	) Art Unit
Filing Date:	May 11, 2005	) 2874
Confirmation No.:	8645	)
For:	OPTICAL WAVEGUIDE MATERIAL AND OPTICAL WAVEGUIDE	)
Examiner:	Akm E. Ullah	) )

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Applicant concurs with the Examiner's conclusion set forth in the communication mailed October 12, 2006 that the prior art does not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention as set forth in each of the independent claims and the dependent claims must be read as a whole, and not as a single feature or subcombination of features which represent less than the entirety of the claimed invention as a whole. While a particular feature or subcombination of features referred to by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance may represent a basis for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art, Applicant submits that this may not necessarily be the sole ground for distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Examiner's statement should, in Applicants' view, not be read as constituting or meaning that the invention can or should be reduced to a single "feature" of the invention or to a subcombination of features that is less than the entire invention claimed as a whole, nor that the single feature referenced by the Examiner or subcombination of Features referenced by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance is the only or sole errounds for distinguishing the invention over the prior art of record.

Dated this 18th day of December 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

/Dana L. Tangren/ Reg. # 37246 DANA L. TANGREN

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 37,246 Customer No. 022913 Telephone No. 801.533,9800

DLT:dfw W:\14321\74\DFW0000020889V001.doe